

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	4:05CR3009
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	<b>TENTATIVE</b>
	)	<b>FINDINGS</b>
TODD L. PARDE,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

I am in receipt of the revised presentence investigation report in this case and the defendant's motion for departure. The parties had no objections to the presentence report.

IT IS ORDERED that:

(1) The undersigned will consult and follow the Guidelines to the extent permitted and required by United States v. Booker, 125 S. Ct. 738 (2005). In this regard, the undersigned gives notice that, unless otherwise ordered, he will (a) give the advisory Guidelines substantial weight; (b) resolve all factual disputes relevant to sentencing by the greater weight of the evidence and without the aid of a jury; (c) impose upon the government the burden of proof on all Guideline-enhancements; (d) impose upon the defendant the burden of proof on all Guideline-mitigators; (e) depart from the advisory Guidelines, if appropriate, using pre-Booker departure theory; and (f) in cases where a departure using pre-Booker departure theory is not warranted, deviate or vary from the Guidelines only when there is a plainly superior, principled reason which justifies a sentence different than that called for by application of the advisory Guidelines.

(2) The defendant's motion (filing 31) for departure (also construed as a motion for variance) pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K2.13 is denied. For among other reasons, the motion is denied because the evaluation by the Federal Medical Center

at Rochester, Minnesota (FMC), upon which the defendant partially relies (filing 31 at 2), conclusively refutes the assertion that the defendant now suffers from or has suffered from a significantly reduced mental capacity. In fact, the doctors at the FMC found that the defendant was malingering. (Presentence Report at 17, ¶ 93; Forensic Evaluation (sealed filing 10) at 10.)

(3) The parties are herewith notified that my tentative findings are that the presentence report is correct in all respects.

(4) If **any** party wishes to challenge these tentative findings, said party shall, as soon as possible, but in any event at least five (5) business days before sentencing, file in the court file and serve upon opposing counsel and the court a motion challenging these tentative findings, supported by (a) such evidentiary materials as are required (giving due regard to the requirements of the local rules of practice respecting the submission of evidentiary materials), (b) a brief as to the law and (c) if an evidentiary hearing is requested, a statement describing why an evidentiary hearing is necessary and how long such a hearing would take.

(5) Absent submission of the information required by paragraph 4 of this order, my tentative findings may become final.

(6) Unless otherwise ordered, any motion challenging these tentative findings shall be resolved at sentencing.

November 29, 2005.

BY THE COURT:

*s/ Richard G. Kopf*  
United States District Judge